Batesburg-Leesville High

600 Summerland Ave.

Batesburg-Leesville, SC 29006

Grades 9–12 High School

Enrollment 628 Students

Principal Mr. Pat Padgett 803-532-9251

Superintendent Dr. William Gummerson 803–532–4423

Board Chair Mr. Benjie Rikard 803-532-3551

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

cellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory
8 14 11 4 0

IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

О

This school met 16 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress				
2003	Good	Unsatisfactory	No				
2004	Excellent	Excellent	Yes				
2005	Good	Unsatisfactory	No				
2006	Average	Unsatisfactory	No				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS								
		Our School		'	h Schools w			
		_	_	Students Like Ours				
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	75.5	81.9	83.6	77.5	73.3	76.0		
Passed 1 subtest	15.5	8.7	9.9	12.1	14.1	10.8		
Passed no subtests	9.0	9.4	6.6	10.4	12.5	13.2		

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	93.2%	89.9%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP					
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	8.3	12.5			
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	8.3	13.2			
Seniors who met the grade point average	48.1	50.2			

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	136	241
Number of Diplomas	96	177
Rate	70.6%	75.3%

END OF COURSE TESTS							
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	89.7	81.6					
English 1	53.2	63.4					
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	82.8	60.9					
Physical Science	61.0	43.5					
All Subjects	69.1	61.9					

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	148	93.2	108	8.3	96	70.6	Yes		
Gender									
Male	67	91.0	55	9.1	68	70.6	N/A		
Female	81	95.1	53	7.5	68	70.6	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	92	94.6	65	12.3	79	78.5	N/A		
African American	50	90.0	40	0.0	51	56.9	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	3	100.0	3	33.3	3	100.0	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	134	97.0	102	8.8	124	75.0	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	14	57.1	6	0.0	12	25.0	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	148	93.2	108	8.3	136	70.6	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	100.0	2	0.0	2	100.0	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	146	93.2	106	8.5	134	70.1	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	63	87.3	66	50.0	66	50.0	N/A		
Full-pay meals	85	97.6	65	13.8	70	90.0	N/A		

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

			FRY	

	/,	- /	- [.	. /	- /	Ι.	۾	$\supset I_{\sim}$. / .
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objection	Participation Objective Met
	# # F	§ / §	1 10	Bass	/ ଞ୍ଚି	/a/		?	\$ \\ \g \ \g \
	10 40	%	Be/	/ %	/ ½	1 %	18 8] # J
	P. E.	7	/ %	/	/	/ ~	1 % 2	148	~ ~
	nglish/Lan	guage Art	s - State	Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 52	.3%		
All Students	155	100.0	14.5	34.2	30.9	20.4	61.8	Yes	Yes
Gender			,		,	,		,	
Male	86	100.0	17.4	34.9	32.6	15.1	59.3	N/A	N/A
Female	69	100.0	10.6	33.3	28.8	27.3	65.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	91	100.0	9.0	28.1	37.1	25.8	74.2	Yes	Yes
African American	58	100.0	22.8	43.9	19.3	14.0	42.1	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	143	100.0	12.1	34.3	33.6	20.0	65.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	12	100.0	41.7	33.3	N/A	25.0	25.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	155	100.0	14.5	34.2	30.9	20.4	61.8	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	153	100.0	14.7	33.3	31.3	20.7	62.0	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	72	100.0	21.1	45.1	22.5	11.3	43.7	No	Yes
Full-pay meals	83	100.0	8.6	24.7	38.3	28.4	77.8	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	ance Obie	ective = 50	0.0%			
All Students	155	99.4	7.9	31.1	33.1	27.8	75.5	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	86	98.8	9.4	32.9	28.2	29.4	72.9	N/A	N/A
Female	69	100.0	6.1	28.8	39.4	25.8	78.8	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	91	100.0	3.4	21.3	37.1	38.2	87.6	Yes	Yes
African American	58	98.3	16.1	46.4	26.8	10.7	57.1	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	143	100.0	5.7	31.4	34.3	28.6	78.6	N/A	N/A
Disabled	12	91.7	36.4	27.3	18.2	18.2	36.4	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	155	99.4	7.9	31.1	33.1	27.8	75.5	N/A	N/A
Fundink Burfinian									

English Proficiency Limited English Proficient

Full-pay meals

Non-Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

2

153

72

I/S

99.3

98.6

I/S

8.1

12.9

I/S

30.9

44.3

I/S

32.9

30.0

35.8

I/S

28.2

12.9

I/S

75.8

61.4

I/S

N/A

Yes

I/S

N/A

Yes

N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE

SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 628)				
Retention rate	6.5%		6.4%	7.0%
Attendance rate	95.5%	'	95.3%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	16.8%		10.6%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	11.9%	•	12.2%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	11.5% 1.9%	Down from 11.6% Up from 1.4%	9.1% 0.9%	9.5% 1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	6.1%	- P	10.7%	11.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship* Annual dropout rate	8.3% 7.7%	Un from 7.50/	11.0% 3.3%	10.2% 2.8%
	14.0%		4.8%	3.5%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	14.0%	Up from 10.4%	4.0%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	405	Up from 384	377	448
Students participating in worked-based	30.7%	Down from 45.0%	30.7%	24.2%
experiences Career/technology students mastering core competencies	84.2%	Up from 75.8%	82.2%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed	93.7%	Down from 95.3%	98.8%	99.1%
* Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 41)	ents.			
Teachers with advanced degrees	48.8%	Up from 46.2%	55.6%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	Op 110111 10.270	N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.5%	N/A	7.5%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	5.4%	Up from 2.7%	8.5%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year		Up from 87.6%	87.8%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate		Down from 96.0%	95.8%	95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	,	Up 9.9% Up from 9.9 days	\$43,915 12.3 days	\$42,943 11.2 days
School	10.0 dayo	op nom ele daye	12.0 44,0	11.2 dayo
Principal's years at school	9.0	Up from 8.0	4.5	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects		Down from 30.1 to 1	26.2 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.0%	Down from 89.5%	89.3%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$7,549	Up 2.1%	\$6,580	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	52.0%	Up from 50.8%	56.5%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	54.5%		60.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts		No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences		Up from 94.2%	94.7%	92.8%
SACS accreditation		No change	Yes	Yes
Character development * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent	No change	Good	Good
		Our	District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highl			N/A	6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high	ly qualified teach	ners State Obje	N/A Mot St	10.2%
Classes not tought by highly qualified to achors in		State Obje	cuve Wet St	ate Objective

Abbreviations for Missing Data

0.0%

94.0%*

No

Yes

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

During the 2005-06 school year, Batesburg-Leesville High School continued its mission of preparing students to reach their maximum potential in academics, arts, athletics, and responsible citizenship.

In the area of academic performance, Batesburg-Leesville High School students continued a trend of improvement by raising their scores on both the High School Assessment Program (HSAP) exam and the SAT for the third straight year. Significant gains were also made on the End-of-Course exams in both math and physical science.

The class of 2006 received 2.1 million dollars in scholarships, with 49.5% qualifying for either the Life Scholarship or the Palmetto Fellows. Sixteen students earned the BLHS Academic Diploma; two, the Diploma for Professional Technologies; and one, the State Academic Diploma.

In Fine Arts, our marching band competed in the state finals for the fourth consecutive year and received a sixth place finish. Our concert band was awarded a Superior Rating at the South Carolina Concert Festival and eleven students were selected to the 2006 SCBDA Region Band. This past spring, we also were notified that one of our Visual Arts students had been accepted and will be attending the Governor's School for the Arts in 2006-07.

Continuing a proud tradition of excellence in athletics, ten of our fourteen varsity sports qualified for the state playoffs in 2005-06, with our football team achieving the ultimate prize by winning the AA State Championship! In addition, many of our student athletes were selected to All-Region, All-Area, or All-State teams.

Other outstanding accomplishments included the recognition of sixteen students as Young and Gifted Award winners from the Columbia Urban League; the selection of one student to participate in the Partners 2006 Summer Health Career Awareness Program at Lexington Medical Center; two students to attend the summer Governor's School for Academics in Charleston; two students, the Milliken Summer Leadership Institute at Wofford; one student, summer Governor's School for the Arts in Greenville; one student, Clemson Economics Camp; and forty-two seniors, recognition for No Demerits during our annual Awards Day Assembly.

Mr. Pat Padgett, Principal Mrs. Meg Grime, School Improvement Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	41	123	29
Percent satisfied with learning environment	92.7%	65.9%	89.7%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	97.6%	78.0%	64.3%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	90.2%	81.1%	79.3%

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.